

PUBLIC CULTURE

27/1999

Society for Transnational Cultural Studies

ALTER / NATIVE MODERNITIES

Dilip Parameshwar Gaonkar guest editor



Public Culture (ISSN 0899-2363), a journal of the Division of the Humanities, University of Chicago, is published three times a year—winter, spring, and fall—for the Society for Transnational Cultural Studies by Duke University Press, 905 W. Main St., Suite 18-B, Durham, NC 27701. The journal is part of the Public Works Publication Project, sponsored by the Society for Transnational Cultural Studies and the Center for Transcultural Studies. The project also sponsors two book series, *Public Planet Books* and *Public Worlds*.

Subscriptions

Direct all orders to Journals Fulfillment, Duke University Press, Box 90660, Durham, NC 27708-0660. Annual subscription rates: institutions, \$95; individuals, \$34; students, \$20. Add \$9 to foreign subscriptions. For additional information, contact the Duke Press Journals Division toll free at 1-888-387-5687.

Distribution

Contact Bernard DeBoer Distributors, Inc., 113 Centre Street, Nutley, NJ 07110; telephone: 973-667-9300; fax: 973-667-0086.

Correspondence and Submissions

Send letters to the editor, manuscripts, pieces for the miscellany section, announcements, and books for review to Editor, *Public Culture*, 124 Wieboldt Hall, University of Chicago, 1010 E. 59th St., Chicago, IL 60637; telephone: 773-702-0814; fax: 773-702-9861; e-mail: cpublic-culture-journal@uchicago.edu>. Manuscripts should be between 500 and 7,500 words and should be submitted in triplicate, double-spaced, and with numbered endnotes. In general, the style of manuscripts should be in accordance with *The Chicago Manual of Style*, 14th ed. See *Public Culture*'s Web site for more detailed submission guidelines: ">.

Indexing

Public Culture is indexed in Sociological Abstracts, Film Literature Index, International Political Science Abstracts, The Left Index, MLA Directory of Periodicals, Social Sciences Citation Index, Research Alert, Current Contents/Social and Behavioral Sciences.

Photocopying

Photocopies for course or research use that are supplied to the end-user at no cost may be made without explicit permission or fee. Photocopies that are provided to the end-user for a photocopying fee may not be made without payment of permission fees to Duke University Press, at \$2 per copy for each article copied.

Permission

Requests for permission to republish copyrighted material from the journal should be addressed to Permissions Editor, Duke University Press, Box 90660, Durham, NC 27708-0660.

Address Change

Postmaster: Send address changes to *Public Culture*, Duke University Press, Box 90660, Durham, NC 27708-0660.

Copyright © 1999 by Duke University Press ISSN 0899-2363



Reinventing the Dislocation 1997 Vegetable color, watercolor, dry pigment, tea wash on 'wasli' paper 13" × 9 1/2"

Miniaturizing Modernity: Shahzia Sikander in Conversation with Homi K. Bhabha

edited by Robert McCarthy

On 8 March 1998 a public discussion inaugurated a Renaissance Society exhibition of Shahzia Sikander's work at the University of Chicago. Portions of that talk are abridged here, beside a selection of Sikander's work engaging the Indo-Persian classical form of miniature painting.

Homi Bhabha: I was reminded while walking through your show of David Sylvester's interview with Francis Bacon where Bacon said that he never wanted to invent a new technique, that people who wanted to invent new techniques in fact were limiting their scopes. What Bacon wanted to do was to *re*invent an earlier technique, one that had been handed down to him. Somehow the distinction between the traditional and the avant garde is profoundly problematized and confused in your work. The terms don't seem to operate in opposition to each other. Do you think that reinvention describes what you have done? Does your work fit into this tradition/modernity sort of binarism?

Shahzia Sikander: I think that the boundaries are blurred, and that that blurring comes out of the act of making art in particular circumstances of time and place. The appeal of miniature painting was that it embodied both the past and the present. It was vulnerable to the kinds of practices that happened in the National College of Art in Lahore and to the incorporation of the personal and the cultural, but, although I always intended to go beyond miniature painting, I did not set out to reinvent it.

Public Culture is grateful to Lori Bartman, Susanne Ghez, Pat Scott, and Hamza Walker of the Renaissance Society at the University of Chicago for their generous assistance and permission to reproduce this interview.

Public Culture 11(1): 146–151 Copyright © 1999 by Duke University Press **HB:** It is interesting that the game-playing that happens in institutions of instruction also actually produces particular practices. Usually, when people look at work that comes from other cultural or historical contexts, or work by diasporic or migrant artists, there is an attempt to see cultural differences in the image, in a style, at some mimetic level of the work. What I find interesting is something else, which is that cultural difference is not merely for the eye; it is in the way one is trained—it is what art school means "there" as opposed to "here." What distinguishes culturally different kinds of work seems not merely to be the making of one image different from another, but the whole training that happens before paint touches paper.

SS: My decision to study miniature painting was questioned by some of my colleagues and other faculty. They said it would retard my creativity, that miniaturism was just rigorous copying. I was attracted to understanding *why* the form existed. Being in that position forced the issue of establishing a relationship to craft or technique, in this case a highly stylized, even fated, genre. And the instructor did play kinds of mind games. To gauge his student's seriousness and resilience, one of the first assignments was to catch baby squirrels for the making of brushes. He did teach us how to make brushes by hand, but what fascinated me more was the way our training was conducted—the play, the subtle provocation—I was interested in seeing what could be learned in this process of submission, of subjection to the technique as it was lodged in this patriarchal arrangement. At the same time, it was not that he was teaching what would have been taught during the Moghul period, either. All of this was happening in a place of experimentation. The distinctions do get confused. The illustrations we studied were printed in catalogues published by Western scholars.



Separate Working Things 1995 Vegetable color, watercolor.

Vegetable color, watercolor, dry pigment, tea wash on 'wasli' paper 8" × 11" **HB:** You have developed a Durga figure, a Kali figure, from the Hindu pantheon, and then uncannily doubled it, overlaid it with the enigmatic veiled woman [see cover]. It seems to me that sometimes you don't so much bring the East and the West together but, more interestingly, the East and the East, and that in doing so you are bringing out the nearness of difference, the intimacy of difference that can exist within any culture.

SS: In my experience, in Pakistan, representations of Hindu mythology were unacceptable. I was interested in how history simplified the visual in terms of Hindu and Muslim or, in my experience, Indian and Pakistani—a visual that I felt did not lend itself to simplistic dissection and separation. Maybe this refusal is where I've entered the work myself: the central, footless figure in *Fleshy Weapons* is rooted to herself.

Shahzia Sikander attended the National College of Art in Lahore, Pakistan and the Rhode Island School of Design before holding a residency in the Core Program at the Museum of Fine Arts in Houston. She now lives and paints in New York City.

Homi K. Bhabha is Chester D. Tripp Professor in the Humanities at the University of Chicago, where he teaches English and Art History. His publications include *The Location of Culture* (Routledge, 1994) and the edited volume *Nation and Narration* (Routledge, 1990).

